## The Vaccine Refrigerator

By Team Orange A

## Overview/Background

- Over 4.3 Million ${ }^{1}$ deaths from vaccinepreventable diseases each year
- Current Cold Chain methods/materials out-ofdate, in disrepair
- Limited funds for equipment and personnelUNICEF 90\% of vaccine purchases


## Current 'Cold Carriers’

Pros:

- No power source needed
- Portable
- Inexpensive

Cons:

- Insufficient temperature control
- Cannot generate cold
- Limited "cold-life"
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## The Cold Chain



## Specific Customer Needs

| Customer Needs | Design Attributes | Engineering Specs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Longer outreach sessions | Longer cold life | $>2$ days within 2-8 C range |
| Affordable by NGOs | Inexpensive | Costs less than \$150 |
| Comfortable to carry | One person can carry easily | Backpack form (hands-free), <50 lbs |
| Doesn't need power grid | powered by alternate means | human/IC-engine powered |
| Flexible for different vaccines | Can accommodate different <br> vial sizes | Can fit all 16 vial standard sizes |
| Doesn't freeze or heat <br> up vaccines | Can keep vaccines in viable <br> temp. range | Maintains 2-8 C range |
| Can treat many villages in <br> a single trip | Can accommodate large <br> number of doses | capacity of $\sim 1500$ doses |

## The Cold Chain



## Introducing the Vacc-Pack



## The Vacc-Pack

- Human-powered
- Well-insulated
- Ample room for vaccines
- Easy to carry
- Monitored temperature
- Motor-less
- Inexpensive
- Easy to manufacture
- Satisfies WHO specs
- Minimal moving parts


## The Compressor



## Temperature Monitor Circuit



## Why not an IC motor?

- Gasoline/oil not "renewable" resource
- Additional moving parts- decrease durability

BUT: This is definitely a feasible alternative

